

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,754	HELLENTHAL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
VAN H. NGUYEN	2194	

All Participants:

Status of Application: now allowed

(1) VAN H. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) MICHAEL BENTLEY (Registration No. 52, 613). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-7 and 17-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claims as presented in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VAN H NGUYEN/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)